CHAPTER II. THE SECURITY COUNCIL

STATE MEMBERS

BangladeshJamaicaSingaporeChinaMaliTunisiaColombiaMauritiusUkraine

France Norway United Kingdom Ireland Russian Federation United States

Representatives to the Security Council should note that the agenda provided is only provisional. The Security Council may discuss any international peace and security issue brought before it. For this reason, Representatives must have a broad base of knowledge on current events in the international community. Also, the overviews provided below are only current through the publication of this handbook. **Many of the topics listed below will change significantly before the Conference, and Representatives should be familiar with the up-to-date situations**. Periodicals are one of the best recommended sources available for day-to-day updates. These include among others: New York Times, UN Chronicle, Christian Science Monitor, Foreign Policy, The Economist and Keesing's Record of World Events. Also, the UN Foundation's on-line daily newsletter, the UN Wire, is an excellent resource for timely information. Whenever possible, AMUN recommends that Representatives familiarize themselves with the most recent report(s) published by the Secretary-General on each situation, along with other UN documents. These can be found on the UN homepage under the Security Council documents section (www.un.org/documents/scinfo.htm). Please note that the bibliographies for these topics focus primarily on UN sources, with some news sources provided for background on important aspects of the various situations.

Initial background research is provided below for each region, with two or three topics receiving a brief analysis. Security Council representatives are neither limited to the main topics discussed nor to any of the topics listed. Should world events move in a different direction from the topics provided in this handbook, the Security Council is welcome to discuss any peace and security matter which it desires.

Please note that resolutions should be written on the sub-topics of each regional area: i.e., resolutions would not be written about "Issues in Africa," but rather about "The Situation in Sierra Leone" or similar sub-topics within the region.

BACKGROUND RESEARCH

ISSUES IN AFRICA

The Situation in Sierra Leone:

The current situation in Sierra Leone involves the UN's attempting to maintain a fragile, and sometimes nonexistent, peace in a country torn by war since 1991. In that year, the Revolutionary United Front (RUF) launched a war near the border with Liberia to overthrow the government. Support by the Liberian government in various forms for RUF efforts further complicates the situation. Current problems involve maintaining a fragile series of cease fires, allowing UN peace keepers to work unmolested in the country, and limiting the illicit trade of diamonds by the RUF (often through Liberia) which has financed the war efforts.

In 1991 Sierra Leone's army, with the support of the ECOMOG (the Military Observer Group to the Economic Community of West African States, or ECOWAS),

originally defended the government against the RUF, but in 1992 the army overthrew the civilian government and took power. While RUF attacks continued, the UN, ECOWAS and the Organization of African Unity (OAU) negotiated a settlement which resulted in elections in February 1996. The army relinquished power at that time to elected President Alhaji Dr. Ahmed Tejan Kabbah. Strife continued, however, largely because the RUF did not participate in the elections. This was soon followed by another military coup d'etat in May 1997, this time led by joint army and RUF forces. Security Council actions in Sierra Leone began in October 1997, with the imposition of an oil and arms embargo and the authorization for ECOMOG to use troops in the area. Following several peace efforts by ECOWAS and others, in February 1998 ECOMOG launched a military offensive which overthrew the junta, expelled it from Freetown, and on 10 March returned President Kabbah to office. At this time the Security Council established the UN Observer Mission in

The Security Council Issues at AMUN 2001 - Page 7



Sierra Leone (UNOMSIL), beginning in June 1998. UNOMSIL, under the protection of ECOMOG forces, was tasked to disarm combatants and document ongoing atrocities and abuses against civilians. The key to recent events began in July 1999, with the signing of the Lome Agreement between the government and rebel forces. This agreement formally ended the hostilities and formed a government of national unity. This included eight cabinet positions controlled by the rebel leader, Foday Sankoh. Another key to the agreement, which was both very controversial and necessary for the peace to succeed, was the provision of complete amnesty to Sankoh for war crimes committed as part of the previous hostilities. Problematically, while RUF leadership at that time was supportive of the peace agreement, many RUF fighters remained uninformed in the field and continued accusations of RUF atrocities against the people of the country were common.

Further developments came on 22 October 1999, when the Security Council terminated UNOMSIL and established UNAMSIL. UNAMSIL's mandate is to cooperate with the government and the other parties in implementing the Lome Peace Agreement and assist in the implementation of the disarmament, demobilization and reintegration plan. On 7 February 2000, the Security Council revised this mandate and expanded its size to a maximum of 11,000 military personnel. This force size was nearly doubled in April 2001 to 17,500 members, with its mandate extended through 30 September.

One of the largest problems facing the UN is the implementation of this peace keeping force. The force has never reached its full capacity, and a number of very public departures by troop contributing countries (India in September 2000 and Jordan in October 2000) left the operation woefully under-staffed. The United Kingdom stepped up its operations in Sierra Leone to help stem the gap, and in April, 2001, Pakistan offered an additional 4,500 troops, but more troops are still needed. Many countries fear the repercussions of sending forces into a situation which is still far from settled, and in which the combatants have not all agreed to cease provocations.

Rebel atrocities continued across the country throughout 2000 and the early months of 2001. One of the low points occurred when rebels took 500 UN peace keepers hostage in May 2000. Almost 300 of these troops were held by the RUF until July, at which time a rescue operation, led by the Indian contingent and supported by Nigerian and Ghanaian forces, was initiated by UN peace keeping forces.

A key element in the Sierra Leone situation is the export of diamonds by rebel forces, often through Liberia, with funds financing ongoing military operations. Council discussions of these "diamond wars" culminated in an embargo on all rough cut diamonds originating in Sierra Leone, with the exception of those accompanied by a government issued "certificate of origin." This was followed

in May 2001 by sanctions against Liberia for its part in trading the diamonds and supporting the RUF.

Negotiations on Sierra Leone continue, with increasing numbers of peace keepers now deploying into zones formerly occupied by rebel troops. More international forces, as well as additional funding and other support, are still needed if the operation is to be an eventual success.

Questions to consider from your government's perspective on this issue include:

- How does the current situation in Sierra Leone reflect on your government's willingness to support, approve, fund or participate in future UN peace keeping efforts?
- Is the international community capable of successfully embargoing diamonds (and similar materials in other countries) when they are an element in internal warfare?
- Should UN peace keepers be more actively involved in rescue operations like the one staged in Sierra Leone? How should mandates with respect to the use of force be changed to keep up with similar situations?

Bibliography:

- "Annan Asks Powerful Nations For Support." *UN Wire*, 2 November 2000.
- "Annan Urges Continued Engagement If Sanctions Imposed." *UN Wire*, 2 May 2001.
- "Brussels Conference Aims to Stem Illegal Gems." UN Wire, 26 April 2001.
- Crossette, Barbara. "Behave or Face a Diamond Ban, Security Council Tells Liberians." *The New York Times*, 8 March 2001.
- Crossette, Barbara. "World Briefing | United Nations: Sanctions Loom For Liberia," *The New York Times*, 3 May
- "Jordan to Withdraw From Peacekeeping Mission." *UN Wire*, 25 October 2000.
- "Liberian Accuses Britain of Stirring Regional War." *The New York Times*, 30 May 2001.
- Onishi, Norimitsu. "Sierra Leone Endures In the Grip of Civil War." *The New York Times*, 19 November 2000.
- Onishi, Norimitsu. "War in Sierra Leone Loosens Its Grip on Child Soldiers." *The New York Times*, 26 May 2001.
- "Pakistan to Contribute 4,500 Troops; more." UN Wire, 6 April 2001.
- "Peacekeepers Halt Rebel Advance; Observers Escape." UN Wire, May 12, 2000.
- Perlez, Jane. "G.I.'s to Be Sent to Train Africans for Sierra Leone." *The New York Times*, 9 August 2000.
- "Rebels Release Dozens More Children." *UN Wire*, 12 June 2001.
- "RUF Returns More Weapons to UN Mission." *UN Wire*, I June 2001.
- Rupert, James and Farah, Douglas. "Nigeria Leader Agrees to Send Troops Into Sierra Leone." *Washington Post*, 19 May 2000. A23.

- Schneider, Daniel B. "World Briefing | United Nations: New War Crimes Tribunal For Sierra Leone." *The New York Times*, 25 July 2001.
- "Security Council Praises Diamond Certification Plan." *UN Wire*, 18 September 2000.
- "UK Plans Exercise to Offset UN Troop Reduction." UN Wire, 8 January 2001.
- "UN-Imposed Sanctions to Take Effect Today." *UN Wire*, 7 May 2001.
- "UN Peacekeeping Missions Establish Awareness Units." UN Wire, 26 April 2001.
- "UN Releases List Banning Travel for 130." UN Wire, 6 June 2001.
- "UN Review of W. Africa Program Shows No Progress." UN Wire, 17 April 2001.
- "UN Says Rebel Zone Deployment Ahead of Schedule." UN Wire, 2 May 2001.
- "UN Scrambles for Troops After India's Withdrawal." *UN Wire*, 22 September 2000.
- "UN to Double Peacekeeping Force." UN Wire, 2 April
- "UN Troops Deployed in Rebel Areas." UN Wire, 15 March 2001.
- "UN Welcomes Release of Children in Sierra Leone." *UN Wire*, 29 May 2001.
- "UNAMSIL Expansion Could Cost More Than \$780M." UN Wire, 15 September 2000.
- "UNHCR Wants Security Council Support For Troops." UN Wire, 9 February 2001.
- Wren, Chistopher S. "Annan Meets With West Africans Over Sierra Leone Crisis." *The New York Times*, 12 September 2000.
- Wren, Chistopher S. "Liberian President Urges U.N. to Move Into Rebel-Held Areas in Sierra Leone." *The New York Times*, 17 October 2000.

UN Documents:

S/2001/627, 25 June 2001 - SG Report on Sierra Leone S/2001/513, 23 May 2001 - SG Report on Sierra Leone S/2001/228, 14 March 2001 -SG Report on Sierra Leone S/2000/992, 16 October 2000, Report of the Security Council Mission to Sierra Leone

S/2000/455, 19 May 2000, Fourth SG Report on S. Leone S/2000/186, 7 March 2000, Third SG Report on Sierra Leone

S/2000/13, 11 January 2000, Second SG Report on Sierra Leone

S/1999/645, 4 June 1999, Sixth SG Report on Sierra Leone S/Res/1346 (2001) on the situation in Sierra Leone S/Res/1343 (2001) on the situation in Liberia S/Res/1334 (2000) on the situation in Sierra Leone S/Res/1321 (2000) on the situation in Sierra Leone S/Res/1317 (2000) on the situation in Sierra Leone S/Res/1315 (2000) on the situation in Sierra Leone S/Res/1313 (2000) on the situation in Sierra Leone S/Res/1306 (2000)

S/Res/1299 (2000) S/Res/1289 (2000)

Additional Web Resource: www.un.org/Depts/dpko/unamsil/body_unamsil.htm

The Situation in the Democratic Republic of the Congo

Ongoing conflict continues between the government and rebel groups in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). While there have been some increased hopes for peace in the first months of 2001, a final resolution of this conflict is far from realized. In July 1999, the Lusaka Ceasefire Agreement was signed by five regional States. In response to this, the Security Council set up the United Nations Observer Mission in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (MONUC) in November 1999, incorporating UN personnel authorized in earlier resolutions. In February 2000, MONUC's size and mandate were further expanded to over 5000 military personnel. Based on the Secretary-General's call for an increased force to ensure that the cease-fire holds, a mission made up of Security Council members visited the DRC in both May 2000 and in May 2001. This mission reported that the Lusaka agreement was broadly supported by all parties in the DRC. The people desired peace, democratic institutions, the withdrawal of outside forces, and also wanted the rebel movements to lay down their arms.

Problems remain, however, in both the work of MONUC and in the presence of rebel and external forces. MONUC's work has been largely unfulfilled in much of the country, as the UN forces have met significant resistance from rebel groups and have been unable to deploy in many areas. Some positive news came in June, however, as rebel troops withdrew from several major urban areas, with a few remaining peacefully to govern part of Kisangani. Continued rebel activity in many rural areas, along with the presence of external troops from neighboring Uganda and Rwanda, has kept the situation contentious. One of the largest current problems involves the alleged pillaging and illicit trade of resources by rebel and foreign groups operating within the DRC.

Reports of human rights violations are also still a grave concern in the eastern part of the DRC, including the systematic rape of women and girls, mass killings, and the destruction of property.

The situation was complicated in early 2001, with the death of DRC President Laurent Kabila. Joseph Kabila, his son and successor, has successfully taken over as president, but some uncertainty still remains about the internal stability of the Congolese government.

Contention also remains about whether phase II of MONUC, allowing for greater troop deployments, is reasonable at this time. Visits by both the Security Council representatives and the Secretary-General's liaisons show

Issues at AMUN 2001 - Page 9

the continuing great need for peace keepers, but with continuing conflict any additional deployments could still be problematic. Also, the ongoing crisis in Sierra Leone has both distracted the world community from the DRC, and has caused a climate in the Security Council which may now rethink additional commitments.

Questions to consider from your government's perspective on this issue include:

- How can the international community incense the various international parties now active in the DRC to cease operations and return to internationally recognized borders?
- Given current conditions in the DRC, is this manifestation of MONUC likely to succeed once it is in place? Are changes to the mandate or composition of the forces needed to enhance the chances of success?

Bibliography:

- "African Leaders Reach Agreement to Withdraw Troops." UN Wire, 9 November 2000.
- "Annan Appeals for More Int'l Support to Congo," *UN Wire*, 31 May 2001.
- "Annan Hopes Kabila Death Will Not Derail Peace Process." *UN Wire*, 19 January 2001.
- Crossette, Barbara. "Another War Is Threatening Central Africa." *The New York Times*, 3 June 2001.
- Crossette, Barbara. "Rwandan Leader Draws a Sadder Portrait of Congo Than Does a U.N." *The New York Times*, 27 June 2001.
- Crossette, Barbara. "U.N. Delegation Finds Reasons for Hope in Congo Peace Talks." *The New York Times*, 24 May 2001.
- "Economist Examines 'Impossible' UN Missions." *UN Wire*, 7 August 2000.
- Fisher, Ian. "World Briefing | Africa: Congo: Optimism On The War." *The New York Times*, 26 May 2001.
- "Glimmerings of a Congo Peace." The New York Times, 5 March 2001.
- "Kabila Addresses Security Council, Requests Troops." *UN Wire*, 5 February 2001.
- "Kabila Promises Eventual Elections Once Peace is Reached." *UN Wire*, 6 February 2001.
- "Last Rebel Group Retreating, UN Mission Says." *UN Wire*, 7 June 2001.
- "The Looting of Congo." *The New York Times*, 29 May 2001. Onishi, Norimitsu. "Pressure Rises on Outsiders in Congo War." *The New York Times*, 17 April 2001.
- "Rebel Troops to Withdraw as MONUC Arrives." *UN Wire*, 23 April 2001.
- "Rwanda Rejects UN Call for Troop Withdrawal." *UN Wire*, 10 January 2001.
- "Security Council Begins Talks; Kabila Ends Ban on Parties." UN Wire, 18 May 2001.
- "Security Council Extends MONUC Mandate; more." *UN Wire*, 15 December 2000.

- "Security Council Heads to Africa to Push Peace Process." *UN Wire*, 15 May 2001.
- "16 Million Face Human Rights Violations." *UN Wire*, 29 November 2000.
- "UN Accuses Neighbors of Looting Natural Resources." UN Wire, 17 April 2001.
- "UN Plans for Fewer Troops," UN Wire, 13 February 2001.
- "UN Says Some Rebels Can Stay to Run Kisangani; more." *UN Wire*, 14 June 2001.
- "UNHCR Driver Killed by Gunmen; First UN Troops Arrive." *UN Wire*, 28 March 2001.

UN Documents:

- S/2001/572, 8 June 2001 SG Report on the Democratic Republic of the Congo
- S/2001/521/Add.1, 30 May 2001, Report of the Security Council Mission to the Great Lakes region, 15-26 May 2001 / Addendum
- S/2001/521, 29 May 2001, Report of the Security Council Mission to the Great Lakes region, 15-26 May 2001
- S/2001/373, 17 April 2001 SG Report on the Democratic Republic of the Congo
- S/2001/128, 12 February 2001 SG Report on Democratic Republic of the Congo

S/2000/566 (12 June 2000) Third SG Report

S/2000/416, 11 May 2000, Security Council mission to the Democratic Republic of the Congo, 4-8 May 2000

S/2000/330, 18 April 2000, SG Report on MONUC

S/2000/30, 17 January 2000, SG Report

S/Res/1355 (2001)

S/Res/1341 (2001)

S/Res/1332 (2000)

S/Res/1323 (2000)

S/Res/1316 (2000)

S/Res/1304 (2000)

S/Res/1291 (2000)

Additional Web Resources:

The "IRIN Weekly Roundup of Main Events in the Great Lakes Region" is an excellent source for that area. Provide by ReliefWeb, this can be found at www.reliefweb.int

www.un.org/Depts/dpko/monuc/monuc_body.htm

The HIV/AIDS Crisis in Sub-Saharan Africa

The HIV/AIDS crisis has negatively impacted much of the world, but nowhere more so than sub-Saharan Africa. While this is traditionally thought of as a health problem, since early 2000 the Security Council and other segments of the world community have been addressing the significant security concerns which are part of the HIV/AIDS crisis. In January 2000, the Security Council, led by the United States, proclaimed the "month of Africa" and focused significant concern on the HIV/AIDS crisis. In security terms, this was seen as an important issue to address in

conflict areas, where the disease is known to spread much more quickly as precautions to prevent it are rarely taken. This spread occurs among combatants, innocent civilians caught in the area, and among national and international forces attempting to pacify an area.

This action by the Security Council opened a floodgate of discussion and action by the UN on the security implications of HIV/AIDS. In July 2000, the Council passed S/Res/1308, the first resolution to ever cover the impact of HIV/AIDS on peace keeping operations and security issues. Since that time, almost every Council resolution dealing with peace keeping has included a preambular clause on HIV/AIDS, "welcoming and encouraging efforts by the UN to sensitize peacekeeping personnel in the prevention and control of HIV/AIDS and other communicable diseases in all its peacekeeping operations." (see S/Res/1362, 2001 as a recent example)

HIV/AIDS threatens political stability by causing socioeconomic crises within a nation as internal health and social services are overwhelmed by the dying and the orphans left in its wake. Secretary-General Annan has noted that these crises have effects akin to those of war.

The Council has also worked with other UN bodies, including ECOSOC and the Joint UN Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS), to discuss actions and solutions to this problem. Many parts of the UN system have come together on the AIDS pandemic, and the Security Council has taken a leadership position on the security implications of this issue.

Questions to consider from your government's perspective on this issue include:

- How can the UN better increase its ability to solve security problems by focusing on HIV/AIDS?
- What specific steps should be taken to limit the peace and security threat of HIV/AIDS?

Bibliography:

Crossette, Barbara. "New Determination Is Seen Emerging in AIDS Battle." The New York Times, 28 June 2001.

"Durban Conference Ends on Positive Note." UN Wire, 14 July 2000.

"Holbrooke Urges Stronger UN Action on HIV/AIDS." UN Wire, 22 January 2001.

"Security Council Addresses Crisis in Africa." UN Wire, 11 January 2000.

"Security Council Adopts First Ever Health Resolution." UN Wire, 18 July 2000.

"Summit in New York; The Words of Many Government." The New York Times, 7 September 2000.

"UN Conference Examines Disease as Security Concern." UN Wire, 11 December 2000.

Wren, Chistopher S. "Ex-Diplomat To Lead Group In AIDS Battle." The New York Times, 20 June 2001.

Wren, Chistopher S. "Powell, at U.N., Asks War on AIDS." The New York Times, 26 June 2001.

Wren, Chistopher S. "U.N. Council Addresses HIV/AIDS In Its Forces." The New York Times, 20 January 2001.

UN Documents:

S/Res/1308 (2000) on the responsibility of the Security Council in the maintenance of international peace and security: HIV/AIDS and international peacekeeping operations

ISSUES IN ASIA

The Situation in Afghanistan

The year 2001 has seen the de facto Taliban government of Afghanistan seeking increased international legitimacy, but finding support in very few places. While United Front (UF) forces posed very little real threat to the Taliban throughout 2000 and 2001, the United Nations and most governments (with the notable exception of neighboring Pakistan) continue to recognize the exiled Afghani government and refuse to deal with the Taliban. The Russian Federation and United States have found common ground in leading UN actions aimed at controlling Taliban influence and limiting their effectiveness. Concerns center primarily on the Taliban's exporting terrorist activities and training terrorists, as well as providing a safe haven for Osama Bin Laden. Also, there is concern from many of Afghanistan's neighbors that the Taliban's brand of extreme fundamentalism might spread to other countries.

The Taliban undertook a campaign in September 2000 to gain the Afghan seat at the UN, which has been denied to them since they first took power in the civil war. They were not only unsuccessful in this quest, but by December the Russian Federation and the US, over the objections of several Islamic countries, successfully moved the Security Council to increase sanctions against the Taliban. Current sanctions include an arms embargo, closure of offices outside Afghanistan, a ban on selling fuel used by the militia, air travel restrictions and restrictions on travel visas for Taliban officials.

These sanctions so far do not appear to have had the desired effect. While Taliban leaders still enjoy the lifestyle they had before the sanctions, the poorest segments of Afghan society are suffering from the sanctions. Additionally, the sanctions provoked significant backlash against UN officials and relief workers. The UN was forced to close its offices in Afghanistan when sanctions were increased in December 2000, and again in January, following threats against the safety of those workers by the Taliban. These were only slowly reopened when the Taliban government agreed to guarantee the safety of relief workers almost a week later.

The Secretary-General's Special Envoy to the region has expressed concern over the lack of progress toward peace in the country, and credits this to the absence of political will on the part of all warring factions in

Afghanistan. He also expressed disappointment with the cooperation of countries in the "six plus two" group of countries in the region; since Afghanistan is landlocked, ammunition and weapons must be traveling through other countries to reach the warring parties, who continue to remain well supplied. The "six plus two" group consists of China, the Islamic Republic of Iran, Pakistan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan (all neighbors of Afghanistan) plus the Russian Federation and the United States. The Secretary-General's office has repeatedly stressed the responsibility of these parties to assist in finding a common approach to peace in the conflict. In April 2001, the Russian Federation went so far as to suggest that sanctions may be appropriate against Pakistan for its complicity in allowing trade goods (including arms) and supplies to reach the Taliban.

One of the key issues in recent months is the nearfamine condition prevalent in much of Afghanistan. This, along with significantly increasing refugee problems among both returning and new refugees, threats of a polio outbreak, urban poverty, continued narcotics trafficking and difficulties in clearing land mines have all contributed to the poor humanitarian situation in the country. Reports of human rights problems have also abounded. These have included the results of war, in which men, women and children have been subjected to summary executions in some cases and have been relegated to the status of virtual hostages in their own land. Human rights problems have been particularly strong concerns for women and children. Women's educational opportunities have been largely eliminated under the Taliban, and gross violations of women's rights have been reported.

The Council has frequently noted a deep concern over the human rights problems, particularly against women and girls. This has even been a problem when relief workers are within Afghanistan, as the stringent laws are applied regardless of nationality and women have a difficult time joining relief efforts. It also noted the continuing diplomatic difficulties between the Taliban and Iran, revolving around the abduction and killing of Iranian diplomats in Afghanistan. Additionally, the use of Afghan territory for the sheltering and training of terrorists was strongly condemned. This resolution further imposed an air embargo on Afghanistan, and froze all of the Taliban assets held in foreign accounts.

The possibility of elections has also been raised, but has yielded no significant results. While the Taliban does not rule out the possibility of future elections, it seems more interested in two other "alternatives" to end the conflict, those being the surrender of the opposition or military victory. Overall, no solutions to the ongoing conflict, instability and human rights violations appear forthcoming.

Questions to consider from your government's perspective on this issue include:

• How can the Taliban and opposition forces be encouraged to negotiate on the dispute?

- How can this conflict best be contained within Afghanistan, to prevent spillover into neighboring countries?
- How can the refugees and others displaced due to the conflict best be cared for while violence continues?
 How can the Taliban be encouraged to recognize internationally specified human rights and limit abuses?
- How can international efforts to relieve the worst cases of suffering within Afghanistan be better implemented?

Bibliography:

- Burns, John F. "Analysis: In Afghanistan, Triumph of Fundamentalism." *The New York Times*, 26 May 1997.
- Crossette, Barbara. "February 11-17; Afghan Refugees Dying." *The New York Times*, 18 February 2001.
- Crossette, Barbara. "Rights Group Says Taliban Aren't Solely to Blame for the Afghan." *The New York Times*, 13 July 2001.
- Crossette, Barbara. "Russia Seeks Sanctions Against Pakistan for Aid to Taliban." *The New York Times*, 9 April 2001.
- Crossette, Barbara. "Taliban and Opposition Forces Are Said to Agree to Peace Talks." *The New York Times*, 4 November 2000.
- Crossette, Barbara. "Taliban Open A Campaign To Gain Status At the U.N." *The New York Times*, 21 September 2000.
- Crossette, Barbara. "Tough Sanctions Imposed on Taliban Government Split U.N." *The New York Times*, 20 December 2000.
- Crossette, Barbara. "U.N. Worries That Afghans Export Terror." *The New York Times*, 26 May 2001.
- "Entire UN Staff Withdrawn Ahead of Sanctions Vote." *UN Wire*, 19 December 2000.
- "Islamic Leaders Pledge Unified Sanctions Stand." *UN Wire*, 10 January 2001.
- "Ousted President Appeals for UN to Aid His Country." *UN Wire*, 8 September 2000.
- Reuters. "U.N. to Use Experts on Taliban Embargo." *The New York Times*, 31 July 2001.
- "Russia Reports to UN on Sanctions Violations." *UN Wire*, 16 April 2001.
- "Taliban Denied UN Seat; more." *UN Wire*, 2 November 2000.
- "Taliban Regulates Foreigners' Behavior; more." *UN Wire*, 6 June 2001.
- "Taliban Says Destruction Will Come." UN Wire, 8 March 2001.
- "Taliban Threatens to Close UN Offices; more." *UN Wire*, 11 December 2000.
- "UN Report Blasts Taliban on Women's Rights; more." *UN Wire*, 20 October 2000.
- "UN Report Cites Sanctions' Impact." UN Wire, 23 August 2000.

- "UN Staff Return After Taliban Promise Safety." UN Wire, 2 January 2001.
- "UN Warns of Famine; Millions at Risk of Starvation." UN Wire, 8 June 2001.
- "US, Russia Request Tougher Sanctions." UN Wire, 8 December 2000.
- "Warring Parties Agree to UN Sponsored Talks." UN Wire, 6 November 2000.
- Wren, Chistopher S. "Where Troops Fear to Tread, Aid Workers Are at Risk." The New York Times, 19 November 2000.

UN Documents:

S/2001/695, 13 July 2001 - SG Report on humanitarian implications of the measures imposed by S/Res/1267 (1999) and 1333 (2000) on Afghanistan

S/2001/384, 19 April 2001 - SG Report on Afghanistan S/2001/241, 20 March 2001 - SG Report on the humanitarian implications of the measures imposed by S/Res/1267 (1999) and 1333 (2000) on Afghanistan S/2000/581, 16 June 2000, SG Report S/2000/205, 10 March 2000, SG Report S/Res/1363 (2001) S/Res/1333 (2000)

The Situation in East Timor

After a period of civil unrest that threatened to expand into other parts of the region, the initial conflict in 1999 in East Timor was successfully resolved through UN auspices. This included significant support from Australia in volunteering to lead the peace keeping mission which would ensure stability in East Timor. The United Nations Transitional Administration in East Timor (UNTAET) was created by the Security Council in S/Res/1272 (1999). endowed with UNTAET is the administrative responsibility for East Timor by means of legislative, executive and judicial authority. UNTAET assumed full administration of East Timor in March 2000.

More specifically, UNTAET's mandate is: 1) to provide security and maintain law and order throughout the territory of East Timor; 2) to establish an effective administration; 3) to assist in the development of civil and social services; 4) to ensure the coordination and delivery of humanitarian assistance, rehabilitation and development assistance; 5) to support capacity-building for selfgovernment; and 6) to assist in the establishment of conditions for sustainable development.

The current situation in East Timor is still transitional and very unpredictable. Issues now revolve around the status and eventual return of East Timorese refugees, the disposition of militias and their support from Indonesia proper, continuing attacks by militia members against UN personnel, and an independence vote tentatively scheduled for late 2001. An additional issue may be the instability which East Timor is engendering in other parts of

The refugee situation is still a central issue in the area. Starting in September 1999, over 450,000 East Timorese were internally displaced or turned into refugees by the crisis. This included approximately 200,000 displaced incountry, with the remaining 250,000 displaced to neighboring West Timor. Of these, most of the internally displaced have returned to their homes. While many have returned from West Timor, estimates in March 2001, place approximately 93,000 people still in refugee camps in West Timor. UN efforts are concentrating primarily on caring for and repatriating these current refugees, although some efforts are also underway to assist in resettlement after people return to their homes. Problematically, militia groups continue to plague the refugee camps. While the militias are relatively small in numbers, they have attacked numerous refugees, and also killed three UN humanitarian aid workers as recently as September 2000.

The overall militia situation continues to be a complicated one. While the Indonesian government has publicly disconnected itself from the militias, it appears that elements of the military may still support these groups. UN estimates put the number of militia members in the low hundreds, with one to two thousand additional informal supporters. Even so, the presence of these militia members, who can easily blend into society when not active, is problematic. Harassment of humanitarian aid workers and peace keepers is also a significant concern, but new rules of engagement were recently passed to allow peace keepers to shoot first when confronted with armed civilians. The current goal of the militias appears to be an attempt to reestablish a presence in East Timor, with the intent of challenging civil authorities following East Timor's independence.

The vote on East Timorese independence, currently scheduled for late 2001 but likely to be postponed into 2002, is also a key issue for which the UN is preparing. Allowing for a free and fair vote, as well as assisting in creating governing structures which will be sustainable after the UN departs, are the key issues. Repatriation of refugees is also seen as highly desirable before a vote takes place.

Additionally, the impact of the East Timor situation has gone beyond just that region. Early in the crisis, it was suggested that the most dangerous element of East Timor for Indonesia might be the "demonstration effect," with other Indonesian regions following East Timor's lead and breaking off from the central government. This does appear to be happening at present, with a major separatist movement in the northern Sumatra province of Aceh as the prime example. The potential for continuing disintegration in other parts of Indonesia is of definite concern to the international community, with the potential that violence will spill over even after the East Timor situation is settled.

A further complication to the entire situation is the uncertain nature of the Indonesian government. The

peaceful removal of President Wahid by parliament and succession by Megawati Sukarnoputri, daughter of the country's founding president Sukarno, leaves an air of uncertainty over the situation.

Questions to consider from your government's perspective on this issue include:

- How can the UN better assist East Timor in its transitional phase?
- What is your government's position on the future of the UN's East Timor operation?
- Should the UN play a role in disarming the militias?
 What can the international community do realistically to prevent future violence?
- What role should the international community play in the possible spreading of violence, based in selfdetermination movements, in other parts of Indonesia?

Bibliography:

- "Annan Praises Results of Donor Aid." *UN Wire*, 9 November 2000.
- "East Timor Leader Calls for Sanctions." UN Wire, 14 September 2000.
- "Government Pledges to Disarm Militia." UN Wire, 11 September 2000.
- "Government Sets Timetable for Disarmament; more." *UN Wire*, 20 September 2000.
- "Independence Declaration Could Be Postponed." UN Wire, 7 December 2000.
- "Indonesia Calls on UN To Return." UN Wire, 15 November
- "Militias Levying Fees on Refugees, UNHCR Says." UN Wire, 14 November 2000.
- Mydans, Seth. "Indonesia Gets a New Leader; Ex-Chief Balks." *The New York Times*, 24 July 2001.
- Mydans, Seth. "Modest Beginnings for East Timor's Justice System." *The New York Times*, 4 March 2001.
- Mydans, Seth. "The World; And Justice For All?" *The New York Times*, 22 October 2001.
- "No UN Observers for West Timor, more." *The New York Times*, 21 May 2001.
- "Security Council Visit Reviews Repatriation Efforts." UN Wire, 13 November 2000.
- "Security Council Worried for Refugees, UN Staff." UN Wire, 4 August 2000.
- Sims, Calvin. "Indonesian Is Under Fire For Comments About Timor." *The New York Times*, 24 September 2000.
- "Soldiers Arrested For UN Staff Deaths, more." UN Wire, 21 September 2000.
- "Speakers Oppose Militia Leader's Extradition." *UN Wire*, 12 October 2000.
- "Territory to Declare Independence in 2001, UN Says." UN Wire, 29 November 2000.
- "UN Approves Extension of UNTAET Mandate, more." *UN Wire*, 2 February 2001.

- "UN Delegation Recommends Sending Security Experts." *UN Wire*, 21 November 2000.
- "UN War Crimes Tribunal Still An Option, Ramos Horta says." *UN Wire*, 14 February 2001.
- "Vote Will Be Dominated By A Few Parties -- UNTAET." UN Wire, 14 June 2001.
- Wren, Christopher. "Summit in New York: The Atrocities; Indonesia is Pressed to End Terror by Militias." *The New York Times*, 9 September 2000.
- Wren, Christopher. "Where Troops Fear to Tread, Aid Workers Are at Risk." *The New York Times*, 19 November 2000.

UN Documents:

S/2001/719, 24 July 2001 -SG Report on East Timor S/2001/436, 2 May 2001 - SG Report on East Timor S/2001/42, 16 January 2001 - SG Report on East Timor S/Res/1338 (2001) on the situation in East Timor S/Res/1319 (2000) on the situation in East Timor

Additional Web Resource: www.un.org/peace/etimor/etimor.htm

ISSUES IN CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPE

The Situation in Kosovo

Regional and national elections, along with increasing violence by ethnic Albanian groups and the concurrent need to protect other minorities were the key issues facing the UN in Kosovo in late 2000 and the first half of 2001. Perhaps the most important event for the region occurred in October 2000 with the election of Vojislav Kostunica to replace Slobodan Milosevic as president of Yugoslavia. With this single election, the international community's perspective on all facets of the Yugoslav situation was radically altered, culminating in Yugoslavia's re-admission as a UN member state in November. While this was generally seen as a positive move for the ongoing situation in Kosovo, limiting the potential for future conflict from Serbian forces, it may also prove to extend the crisis, since most States now see Kosovar independence as a less-than-likely option.

UN operations in Kosovo are focused around an international civilian administration, the UN Interim Administration Mission in Kosovo (UNMIK), created on 10 June 1999 by S/Res/1244. UNMIK consists of four main branches, a UN-led interim civil administration, a humanitarian affairs component led by UNHCR, an EU-led reconstruction effort, and efforts to rebuild institutions in Kosovo, led by the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE). While the UN has put significant effort into Kosovo over the past two years, with efforts primarily focused on rebuilding the region, many of the problems which started the conflict still remain.

Local legislative elections have been delayed several times since 2000, with current elections scheduled for November 2001. A number of issues have held up elections, but ethnic violence and the position of the Serbian minority in Kosovo continue to be exacerbating problems.

Chief among the current concerns the protection of Serbs remaining in Kosovo and renewed ethnic violence by Kosovar Albanians. Albanian forces have attacked numerous Serbs within Kosovo, have attacked UN officials and burned a UN police station, and have used Kosovo as a base for attacks in the neighboring Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (FYROM). The Secretary-General has strongly urged all ethnic communities and parties in Kosovo to demonstrate restraint and tolerance and to fully cooperate with the international community in efforts to restore the region. The SG has clearly stated the aim of UN operation in Kosovo as the creation of a "secure, multiethnic, prosperous and democratically governed society for all Kosovars, regardless of ethnicity." Problematically, there are consistent and ongoing staff shortages for both civil administration and police, which have constrained UN operations.

The Security Council remains actively involved in the situation, including a mission of Council representatives to Kosovo in June 2001. Discussions involve both monitoring the progress of efforts led by the Secretary-General, and taking measures to deal with the continuing threats of violence between various parties as the refugees are repatriated and the region is rebuilt.

Questions to consider from your government's perspective on this issue include:

- How can the international community best facilitate ongoing actions to rebuild Kosovo, including increasing needed personnel and assisting in the upcoming elections?
- What additional steps are necessary to ensure fair legislative elections in Kosovo?
- What steps are needed to ensure the safety of the remaining Serbian population of Kosovo?

Bibliography:

- "Annan Lauds Self-Government Plan; KFOR Offers Amnesty." UN Wire, 16 May 2001.
- "Elections to Be Held No Earlier Than Summer, OSCE Says." *UN Wire*, 31 January 2001.
- Erlanger, Steven. "Having a Vote In Kosovo Is Requiring Determination." *The New York Times*, 1 February 2001.
- Erlanger, Steven. "Multiplying Albanian Insurgents in Yugoslavia Threaten Belgrade's." *The New York Times*, 21 January 2001.
- Erlanger, Steven. "The World; Is Serbia's Victory Kosovo's Loss?" *The New York Times*, 29 October 2000.
- Gall, Carlotta. "Albanian Moderates Claim Kosovo Victory." The New York Times, 30 October 2000.

- Gall, Carlotta. "U.N. Officials Revive Plan to Return Serbian Refugees to Kosovo." *The New York Times*, 8 June 2001.
- Gordon, Michael R. "Rebellion Poses Quandary for G.I.'s in Kosovo." *The New York Times*, 3 February 2001.
- "Gov't Considers War; EU Calls for Restraint." *UN Wire*, 7 May 2001.
- "Mission to Tour Yugoslavia This Month." UN Wire, 8 June 2001.
- "NATO Considers Return of Yugoslav Forces." *UN Wire*, 7 March 2001.
- "Protecting Minorities Poses Biggest Problem, UN Says." UN Wire, 22 September 2000.
- "Province Should Be Independent, Report to UN." UN Wire, 24 October 2000.
- "Russian KFOR Peacekeeper Killed." UN Wire, 12 April 2001.
- "Security Council Adopts Plan on Troop Withdrawal." *UN Wire*, 23 February 2001.
- "Security Council Condemns Albanian Rebels." *UN Wire*, 8 March 2001.
- "Serbian Parliament Rejects UN Framework." *UN Wire*, 1 June 2001.
- "Territory Will Not Vote On Independence, Haekkerup Says." *UN Wire*, 10 May 2001.
- "UN Delegation Urges Yugoslav Support For Elections." UN Wire, 18 June 2001.
- "UN General Assembly Approves Membership." *UN Wire*, 2 November 2000.
- "UN Police Station Attacked; more." UN Wire, 20 December 2000.
- "UN Warns That Continued Violence Could Risk Aid." *UN Wire*, 21 February 2001.
- "UN Withdraws Police, Personnel From Northern Area." *UN Wire*, 19 December 2000.
- "UNMIK Seeks Progress, Elections on Track." UN Wire, 10 April 2001.
- "Yugoslav Army Charges 183 With War Crimes; more." UN Wire, 25 April 2001.

UN Documents:

S/2001/600, 19 June 2001, Report of the Security Council Mission on the implementation of Security Council resolution 1244 (1999), 16-18 June 2001

S/2001/565, 7 June 2001, SG Report on Kosovo

S/2000/363, 29 April 2000, Report of the Security Council Mission on the implementation of Security Council resolution 1244 (1999)

S/2001/218, 13 March 2001 - SG Report on Kosovo

S/2000/548, 6 June 2000, SG's Report

S/2000/177, 3 March 2000, SG's Report

S/Res/1244(1999)

S/Res/1239(1999)

S/Res/1207(1998)

S/Res/855(1993)

The Situation in the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia

Since the collapse of Yugoslavia in the early 1990s, many observers have viewed the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (FYROM) as a critical flashpoint in the Balkans. The international community gave high priority to preventing the spread of ethnic conflict to FYROM, since it was feared that war there could quickly involve some or all of FYROM's neighboring countries and lead to a broader Balkan war. In 1993, the Security Council voted to send a small military contingent to FYROM to prevent the violence in the rest of the region from spilling over into the new nation. The United Nations Preventive Deployment Force (UNPREDEP) was the first instance ever of UN forces' being sent on a preventative deployment. By all measures, the operation was a success; FYROM was spared the violence that engulfed much of the region. However, in early 1999, China vetoed a further extension of UNPREDEP's mandate, in apparent retaliation for FYROM's recognition of Taiwan, and this veto led to the removal of the UN forces.

At the same time, NATO was deploying its forces to the area around the Yugoslav province of Kosovo in response to the escalating conflict there. By June 1999, NATO air strikes had led the government of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia to ask for a cease fire under NATO conditions. In response, the Security Council passed Resolution 1244 (1999) authorizing NATO forces to deploy to Kosovo to establish an environment conducive to finding a long-term solution to the conflict. Some NATO forces were deployed to FYROM, both before and after S/Res/1244, in support of the main operation.

The conflict between Kosovo's Serb and Albanian populations had an impact on the relationship of the Slav and Albanian communities in FYROM, but inter-ethnic relations never sank as low as those in Kosovo. In fact, Albanian parties were part of the FYROM government throughout the 1990s. However, relations have historically been tense between the Slav majority and ethnic Albanian minority, and the groups have never been integrated. Albanians resent their status as second class citizens, and believe their language should be made an official language of FYROM. Many in FYROM fear that these demands for Albanian autonomy are simply a pretext for the eventual separation of the Albanian areas.

The conflict in neighboring Kosovo in 1999 exacerbated inter-ethnic tensions in FYROM. About 250,000 Kosovar Albanian refugees flooded into FYROM during the height of the crisis. FYROM authorities were at times reluctant to accept Kosovar Albanian refugees and pressed for many thousands of them to be evacuated to

third countries. The Kosovo Liberation Army (KLA) maintained a presence in FYROM during the conflict. FYROM authorities frequently intercepted and seized weapons deliveries en route to Kosovo.

In early 2001, a group calling themselves the "National Liberation Army" (UCK in Albanian) appeared on the scene, claiming responsibility for a number of the attacks. As the violence escalated, the FYROM government moved to respond. Active diplomacy by the international community led the government to tread lightly in trying to reassert control. While this diplomacy is credited with keeping the level of the conflict in check, it drew resentment from many within FYROM. Many Slavs felt the foreign interference was preventing them from dealing decisively with internal rebellion; many Albanians felt betrayed by the same nations which they had seen as saviors months before.

The violence continued through the summer, with neither side able to gain a decisive advantage. After concerted diplomatic pressure, a cease-fire in July led to a peace agreement in early August. The agreement calls for the deployment of NATO troops in FYROM to separate the forces and disarm the rebels. As of this writing, NATO forces have not yet been deployed.

Questions to consider from the perspective of your government on this issue include:

- Should the international mission to FYROM be under NATO or UN auspices?
- Does your government believe that foreign diplomacy made the situation in FYROM better or worse?
- Does your government feel this situation sets any precedents for how the international community should address conflicts like this in the future?
- What measures, if any, should the international community now take to prevent the conflict from rekindling and spreading?
- What would be the results of the withdrawal of the international community?

Bibliography:

"China Uses Veto To Block Extended UN Mission." *UN Wire*, 26 February 1999.

Fisher, Ian. "Macedonia Tension Eases Slightly as Talks Are to Restart." The New York Times, 8 August 2001.

Fisher, Ian. "Macedonians Give Initial Approval to a Peace Agreement." *The New York Times*, 8 August 2001.

"Gov't Considers War; EU Calls For Restraint." *UN Wire*, 7 May 2001.

"Hostilities Raise Fears Of Regional Crisis." UN Wire, 6 March 2001.

"Macedonia Fighting Intensifies." UN Wire, 19 March 2001. Reuters. "NATO Says It Won't Directly Disarm Rebels in Macedonia." The New York Times, 8 August 2001.

"Security Council Condemns Albanian Rebels; More." *UN Wire*, 8 March 2001.

"UN Praises Restraint In Face Of Deadly Attacks." UN Wire, 1 May 2001.

UN Documents:

S/PRST/2001/8, 16 March 2001

S/PRST/2001/7, 7 March 2001

S/PRST/2000/40, 19 December 2000

S/Res/1345 (2001)

S/Res/1244 (1999)

S/Res/1239 (1999)

S/Res/1203 (1998)

S/Res/1199 (1998)

S/Res/1160 (1998)

ISSUES IN THE MIDDLE EAST

The Situation between Iraq and Kuwait

The latter months of 2000 and early 2001 brought a number of changes to the Security Council's handling of the Iraqi situation. While disarmament, monitoring and verification issues continue to be a concern, the Council now appears to be moving toward allowing nations to normalize trading relations with Iraq. A broad realization, even among the United Kingdom and the United States, has set in that the current sanctions are ineffective, and is harming the Iraqi people while not seriously affecting the government. The sanctions have become subject to multiple violations, by both neighboring States and several major powers.

While the UK and US did engage in a prolonged bombing attack against Iraq in February 2001, this was followed by discussions of "recasting" the sanctions. A UK and US resolution to modify the sanctions failed to gain support in May. Following this, in June Iraq again refused to comply with a Security Council resolution extending the oil-for-food programme, thus attempting to show its defiance to international regulation. As of the time of this writing, oil is again flowing from Iraq with limited restrictions; all money received goes into the oil-for-food accounts, thus limiting Iraq's ability to purchase weapons, which would be contrary to the remaining sanctions.

Meanwhile, the humanitarian situation in Iraq continues to deteriorate. Infant mortality rates are among the highest in the world, and almost half of the population has very little access to clean water or many other necessities. The Red Cross has also noted that the Iraqi health care system is very run-down, and UNDP has reported that major rehabilitation will be needed in the Iraqi power supply system before power can be fully restored.

The current stalemate in the Security Council includes the UK and US position of keeping sanctions on weapons and a possible renewed inspection regime, while lifting all other sanctions. The Russian Federation and China, on the other hand, favor only very limited restrictions on Iraq, arguing that the Iraqi people have suffered enough and that the country should be allowed to rebuild. France and several other European nations are in the middle of this stalemate, with significant interests in Iraqi trade driving the positions of some countries.

Questions to consider from your government's perspective on this issue include:

- What actions can be taken to break the current stalemate in the Council? Which position does your government favor to overcome the impasse?
- Should sanctions be lifted as Iraq continues to comply with the demands of the Security Council?
- What concessions, if any, should be made to Iraq in order to restore the disarmament and monitoring mission? Is this a desirable outcome for your government?

Bibliography:

"Baghdad Insists on Sanctions' End Before Arms Inspections." *UN Wire*, 22 February 2001.

Crossette, Barbara. "British-U.S. Plan to Ease Curb On Iraq Seems Stalled for Now." *The New York Times*, 31 May

Crossette, Barbara. "Effort to Recast Iraq Oil Sanctions Is Halted For." *The New York Times*, 3 July 2001.

Crossette, Barbara. "Paris Talks Will Address Plan Revising Iraq Sanctions." *The New York Times*, 13 June 2001.

Crossette, Barbara. "U.N. Sanctions Didn't Stop Iraq From Buying Weapons." *The New York Times*, 18 June 2001.

Dao, James and Myers, Steven Lee. "Attack on Iraq: the Overview; U.S. and British Jets." *The New York Times*, 17 February 2001.

Eckholm, Erik. "China Rejects Allegations On Improving Iraqi Weapons." *The New York Times*, 7 March 2001.

"Former UN Aides Say Sanctions Amount to Genocide." UN Wire, 18 June 2001.

Frantz, Douglas. "At Iraq's Backdoor, Turkey Flouts Sanctions." *The New York Times*, 30 March 2001.

"Iraq Halts Oil Sales Over U.N. Decision On Food Program." The New York Times, 4 June 2001.

MacFarquhar, Neil. "Arab Leaders End Meeting In Disarray Over Iraq." *The New York Times*, 29 March 2001.

"New Inspection Chief Offers Detailed Plan." *UN Wire*, 7 April 2000.

"Recasting the Iraq Sanctions." The New York Times, 20 May 2001.

"Security Council Members Discuss Easing Sanctions." *UN Wire*, 14 June 2001.

"Security Council Representatives Meet in Paris on Sanctions." UN Wire, 13 June 2001.

"Stalemate Over Iraq." The New York Times, 5 July 2001.

"U.N. Council Votes To Extend Iraq Plan." The New York Times, 4 July 2001.

"US, UK Attack Baghdad Sites, Imperiling Upcoming UN Talks." UN Wire, 20 February 2001.

The Security Council Issues at AMUN 2001 - Page 17



"World Briefing | Middle East: Iraq: Oil Exports Resume." The New York Times, 12 July 2001.

UN Documents:

S/2001/582, 12 June 2001, SG Report on Iraq/Kuwait

S/2001/505, 18 May 2001, SG Report on Iraq

S/2001/287, 28 March 2001, SG Report on Iraq-Kuwait

S/2001/186, 2 March 2001, SG Report pursuant to paragraph

5 of resolution 1330 (2000) - Iraq

S/2000/575, 14 June 2000, SG's Report

S/2000/520, 1 June 2000, SG's Report

S/2000/347, 26 April 2000, SG Report

S/2000/269, 30 March 2000, SG's Report

S/2000/208, 10 March 2000, SG Report

S/1999/356, 27 and 30 March, 1999, Reports to the SC

S/Res/1360 (2001)

S/Res/1352 (2001)

S/Res/1330 (2000)

S/Res/1302 (2000)

S/Res/1293 (2000)

S/Res/986 (14 April 1995)

S/Res/687 (3 April 1991)

S/Res/661 (6 August 1990)

The Situation in the Middle East:

The UN-monitored Israeli pullout from Lebanon in June 2000, along with ongoing talks between the Israeli government and the Palestinian Authority brought a brief sense of renewed hope to the region. Violence continued, however, and has intensified since the February 2001 election of Prime Minister Sharon in Israel. Both sides continue to engage in violent acts, whether through direct confrontations, or in increased bombings and other alleged terrorist incidents. The Security Council attempted to take action in March 2001, with a resolution which would have created an international observer force to protect Palestinian civilians in Gaza and the West Bank. This resolution, which was opposed by Israel, was vetoed by the United States, with four other European nations abstaining. While the Middle East is a frequent topic of discussion, little concrete action has been taken by the Council.

Questions to consider from your government's perspective on this issue include:

 What role can the international community play in supporting a peaceful resolutions to the problems in the Middle East?

Bibliography:

"Arafat Lobbies Security Council for Peacekeepers." UN Wire, 13 November 2000.

Barak, Ehud. "Israel Needs a True Partner for Peace." *The New York Times*, 30 July 2001.

"Border Clash Leads to New Syrian-Israeli Tensions." *UN Wire*, 16 April 2001.

Crossette, Barbara. "Powell Assails Israel for Gaza Incursion." The New York Times, 18 April 2001.

"Europeans Offer Alternative to UN Observer Force." UN Wire, 23 March 2001.

"Gulf States Offer \$300 Million to Arafat Gov't; more." *UN Wire*, 20 March 2001.

"Israel, Arabs Clash at UN Talks; More." *UN Wire*, 24 April 2001.

"Israel Rejects UN Force; more." UN Wire, 7 November

"Israeli Occupation Illegal, General Assembly Says." UN Wire, 4 December 2000.

"Israeli-Palestinian Talks Gauge Cease-Fire." UN Wire, 15 June 2001.

"New Israeli Prime Minister Urged to Pursue Peace." *UN Wire*, 7 February 2001.

"Palestinians Repeat Request for UN Intervention." UN Wire, 16 March 2001.

Perlez, Jane. "U.S. Widens Role in Mideast Crisis, Sending an Envoy." *The New York Times*, 22 May 2001.

"Security Council Backs Annan's Peace Efforts." *UN Wire*, 23 May 2001.

"Security Council Supports UNIFIL Reduction," UN Wire, 17 May 2001.

Sontag, Deborah. "And Yet So Far: A special report. Quest for Mideast Peace: How and Why It Failed." *The New York Times*, 26 July 2001.

Sontag, Deborah. "Arafat Stops Short of Rejecting Violence." *The New York Times*, 11 March 2001.

"UN Diplomats Negotiate to End Violence." *UN Wire*, 23 April 2001.

"U.S. Veto Blocks West Bank Force." *The New York Times*, 28 March 2001.

Weaver, Mary Anne. "Egypt on Trial." *The New York Times*, 17 June 2001.

UN Documents:

S/2001/714, 20 July 2001 - SG Report on UN Interim Force in Lebanon

S/2001/499, 18 May 2001 - SG Report on the UN Disengagement Observer Force - Israel/Syria

S/2001/423, 30 April 2001 - SG Report on the UN Interim Force in Lebanon

S/2001/66, 22 January 2001 - SG Report on the UN Interim Force in Lebanon

S/Res/1365 (2001) on the situation in the Middle East S/Res/1351 (2001) on the situation in the Middle East

S/Res/1337 (2001) on the situation in the Middle East

S/Res/1328 (2000) The situation in the Middle East

S/Res/1322 (2000) on the situation in the Middle East, including the Palestinian question

S/Res/1310 (2000) on the situation in the Middle East

S/Res/1300 (2000) - Middle East

SC/6874 (2000) - Press Release: Tribute to Syrian President

SC/6847 (2000) - Press Release: Lebanon Withdrawal