

American Model United Nations General Assembly Second Committee

SUBJECT OF RESOLUTION: Sustainable development - Disaster risk reduction

GA Second/I/3

	SUBMITTED TO:	The General Assembly Second Committee	
	The General Assembly Second Committee,		
1	Noting with deep cond	Noting with deep concern that economic losses from natural disasters average 300 billion USD annually,	
$\frac{2}{3}$	Taking note of the Se 2015,	ndai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction which was adopted by Member States in	
$\frac{4}{5}$	<i>Further noting</i> that the economic costs of natural disasters continue to escalate often faster than Gross Domestic Product (GDP),		
6 7	<i>Emphasizing</i> the consensus agreed upon at the 21st Conference of Parties (COP 21) Paris Agreement to address climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions in small increments,		
8 9	<i>Confident</i> in the capato to create efficient disaster risk	city to promote, establish and manage effective and transnational partnerships in order governance,	
10 11	Recognizing that expendence Member States,	cted annual losses in developing Member States are five times higher than in developed	
12 13	Aware of the high nu affected annually directly beca	umber of those affected by natural disasters and that more than two million people use of natural disasters,	
14	Believing outdated an	d crumbling infrastructure only adds to the devastating effects of natural disasters,	
$15 \\ 16$	<i>Identifying</i> the threats that not only natural disasters but all disasters in general pose to Member States of all economic standing,		
17 18	<i>Noting further</i> the importance of sovereignty of Member States and of staying independent in the discussions of regional and international cooperation,		
19 20	<i>Having considered</i> how regions experience similar natural disasters and the importance of regional collaborations in confronting these natural disasters,		
21 22	1. <i>Encourages</i> the strengthening of international cooperation and formation of genuine and durable part- nerships at the regional level;		
23 24 25	2. Supports the use of regional economic organizations, such as the Latin American and Caribbean Economic System (SELA) and the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) Economic Community, to define regional economic goals and provide the avenues to reach those goals, such as:		
26 27	(a) Recomme relevant parties to invest in re	nds that Member States provide incentives for individuals, communities and other ducing the risks they face;	
28 29	(b) Calls upon on;	n neighboring Member States to establish a disaster response infrastructure that focuses	
30	(i) Hiring and	training professional first responders;	
31	(ii) Developin	g national and local contingency plans for disaster scenarios;	
32	(iii) Stockpili	ng resources like water and non-perishable foods;	
33	(iv) Establish	ing disaster relief transportation networks across national borders;	
$\frac{34}{35}$	(c) Encourag benefit from the reduced risk	es private businesses to invest in infrastructure improvements given that they will of economic losses;	

36 3. *Encourages* regional economic organizations, such as SELA and ASEAN Economic Community, to allocate 37 funds to assist Member States in improving their infrastructure and reducing disaster risks:

(a) Requests Member States use these funds to increase the resilience of critical infrastructure and
other basic services when affected by disasters;

40 (b) Welcomes the continued development of national and regional disaster risk reduction strategies 41 as outlined in the Sendai Framework;

42 4. Acknowledges regional responsibility and neighborly conduct by bordering Member States to alleviate the 43 reliance on developed Member States for financial aid, enhancing regional economic stability and affirming national 44 sovereignty of developing Member States;

5. *Encourages* the analysis of past natural disasters by national agencies, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and other experts, focusing specifically on cross-border disasters, to be shared on a voluntary basis with Member States to further refine risk reduction strategies;

6. *Calls upon* Member States to prioritize the assessment of specific structures such as hospitals, schools and crucial transportation links in order to develop a proactive plan for strenghtening infrastructure that can reduce the impact of disasters;

51 7. *Considers* the rebuilding, renovation and creation of necessary infrastructure as the primary need of 52 developing and developed Member States to reduce the effects of natural disasters by:

- (a) Standardizing building codes and integrity based on the susceptibility to regional hazards;
- 54 (b) Protecting vital services such as;
- 55 (i) Emergency services;

53

- 56 (ii) Education services;
- 57 (iii) Health services;
- 58 (iv) Utility services;
- 59 (v) Communication services;
- 60 (vi) Transportation services;

61 (c) Creating environmental infrastructure based on geographic setting to be reactive and proactive 62 to climate change;

63 8. *Reaffirms* the long-term initiative laid out in the COP 21 Paris Agreement such as limiting the amount 64 of greenhouse gases in small but sustainable increments of time as determined by respective Member States.

Passed, Yes: 65 / No: 26 / Abstain: 16